► AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	STATES DISTRI	CT COURT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
		District of	NEB	RASKA ZIIIII JAN 27 PM 4: 56
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	_		ZIIIIII JAN 27 PM 4: 56
	V.	ORDE	R OF DETENTIO	OF PENDING HERVALERK
	JASON ROBERTSON	Case Numb	er: 4:05CR3145 &	4:06CR3013
	Defendant n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § tion of the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing ha	s been held. I conclude t	hat the following facts require the
		Part I—Findings of Fact		
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	a felony that was committed after the defend	ant had been convicted of two	or more prior federal offe	enses described in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the sector of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption			
	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
х (There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
X ((2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e the appearance of the defendant as required and t		ondition or combination	of conditions will reasonably assure
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	find that the credible testimony and information subject of the evidence that			ot the time.
		I—Directions Regarding D		
to the reason Gove	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorned extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving nable opportunity for private consultation with defermment, the person in charge of the corrections facility numbers of with a court proceeding.	ng sentences or being held in course counsel. On order of a course	ustody pending appeal. urt of the United States o	The defendant shall be afforded a or on request of an attorney for the
	1-27-06 1	1 Jail o	L. Printer	_
-	Date		gnature of Judicial Officer	Today
			Piester, U.S. Magistrate and Title of Judicial Offi	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).